



In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for manuscripts for *Pythagoras*, we ask that you take a moment to update your electronic portfolio on [www.pythagoras.org.za](http://www.pythagoras.org.za), allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

**If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.**

To access your details on the website, follow these steps:

1. Log into *Pythagoras* online at <http://www.pythagoras.org.za>

2. In your 'user home' select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest.

It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to *Pythagoras*.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require assistance in performing this task.

Rochelle Flint  
[submissions@pythagoras.org.za](mailto:submissions@pythagoras.org.za)  
 Tel: +27 (0)21 975 2602  
 Fax: +27 (0)21 975 4635

## Acknowledgement to reviewers

The quality of the articles in *Pythagoras* crucially depends on the expertise and commitment of our peer reviewers.

Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work, making a substantial contribution to the field. Reviewers' comments serve two purposes, guided by two inter-dependent objectives:

- *Pythagoras wishes to publish only original papers of the highest possible quality, making a meaningful contribution to the field.* Reviewers advise the Editor on the scholarly merits of the manuscript to help him evaluate the manuscript and to decide whether or not to publish it. Reviewers are encouraged to reject a manuscript if it is scientifically flawed, merely sets out observations with no analysis, provides no new insights, or is of insufficient interest to warrant publication.
- *Pythagoras is committed to support authors in the mathematics education community.* Reviewers help the author to improve the quality of their manuscript. Reviewers are encouraged to write their comments in a constructive and supportive manner and to be sufficiently detailed to enable the author to improve the paper and make the changes that may eventually lead to acceptance.

The following summary of outcomes of the reviewing process in 2012 shows that our reviewers do well in achieving both objectives:

No. of manuscripts processed in 2012 (outcome complete)	47
Accepted <i>without changes</i>	0 (0.0%)
Accepted with <i>minor changes</i> (to the satisfaction of the Editor) <sup>1</sup>	11 (23.4%)
Accepted after <i>major revisions</i> (re-submit, then re-review) <sup>2</sup>	11 (23.4%)
Rejected after review – not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> <sup>3</sup>	12 (25.5%)
Rejected without review – not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> <sup>4</sup>	13 (27.7%)

We sincerely thank the following people who have reviewed these manuscripts for *Pythagoras* in 2012. We very much appreciate their time, expertise and support of *Pythagoras* amidst pressures of work.

Aneshkumar Maharaj

Anne Watson

Ansie Harding

Anthony Essien

Antonia Makina

Arthur Powell

Belinda Huntley

Benard Okelo

Bill Atweh

Brian Greer

Busisiwe Goba

Calvin Jongsma

Caroline Long

Clement Dlamini

Craig Pournara

Cyril Julie

Danie Strauss

Daniela Coetzee-Manning

David Mogari

David Mtetwa

Deborah Moore-Russo

Deonarain Brijlall

Dirk Wessels

Duncan Samson

Ednei Becher

Elizabeth Pretorius

Eric Gold

Erica Spangenberg

Eugenia Vomvoridi-Ivanovic

Faaiz Gierdien

Gawie du Toit

Gelsa Knijnik

Gilah Leder

Hamsa Venkatakrishnan

Hannatjie Vorster

Hans Niels Jahnke

Hennie Boshoff

Herbert Khuzwayo

1. Accepted after one round of review, with 'minor' changes as specified by reviewers and Editor.

2. Accepted after two or more rounds of review, with major changes specified by reviewers and Editor.

3. Includes three cases where authors did not resubmit after required to make major changes.

4. All submissions undergo a preliminary review by the Editor (and Associate Editors) to ascertain if it falls within the aims and scope of *Pythagoras* and is of an acceptable standard. Includes six cases where authors did not resubmit after extensive feedback prior to reviewing.



If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.

### Reviewers (Continued):

Iben Christiansen  
 Ida Marais  
 Ingrid Mostert  
 Jacob Jaftha  
 Jacques du Plessis  
 Jill Adler  
 Johann Engelbrecht  
 Jurie Conradie  
 Kakoma Luneta  
 Karen Coe  
 Karin Brodie  
 Kate le Roux  
 Kosie Smit  
 Leila Goosen  
 Leonard Mudau  
 Lindiwe Tshabalala  
 Lorna Holtman  
 Lovemore Nyaumwe  
 Luckson Kaino  
 Lyn Webb  
 Lynn Bowie  
 Marc Schäfer  
 Marcus Bizony  
 Margot Berger  
 Marietjie Potgieter  
 Marit Johnsen-Høines  
 Marthie van der Walt  
 Mellony Graven  
 Michael de Villiers  
 Michael Mhlolo  
 Michael Samuel  
 Mogege Mosimege  
 Murad Jurdak  
 Neil Eddy  
 Nelis Vermeulen  
 Nick Taylor

Norman Webb  
 Nyna Amin  
 Paola Valero  
 Paula Ensor  
 Percy Sepeng  
 Peter Gates  
 Piet Human  
 Pragashni Padayachee  
 Retha van Niekerk  
 Richard Barwell  
 Ronel Paulsen  
 Sandra Heldsinger  
 Sarah Bansilal  
 Shaheeda Jaffer  
 Sheena Rughubar-Reddy  
 Sibawu Siyepu  
 Sizwe Mabizela  
 Stefan Haesen  
 Stephen Lerman  
 Stuart Rowlands  
 Sudan Hansraj  
 Susan van Rensburg  
 Tad Watanabe  
 Temesgen Zewotir  
 Thomas Morman  
 Thulisile Nkambule  
 Tim Dunne  
 Tony Cotton  
 Tracy Craig  
 Umesh Ramnarain  
 Vasuthavan Govender  
 Vera Frith  
 Washiela Fish  
 Yusef Waghid  
 Yusuf Johnson  
 Zain Davis